
University of Michigan 2015 Campus Climate Survey Results

William G. Axinn

Additional Resources for Measuring Sexual Assault

University of Michigan Campus Climate Survey

<https://publicaffairs.vpcomm.umich.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/19/2015/04/Complete-survey-results.pdf>

National Research Council Review

Full Report: http://www.nap.edu/openbook.php?record_id=18605&page=R1

Report Brief:

http://sites.nationalacademies.org/cs/groups/dbassesite/documents/webpage/dbasse_085943.pdf

Department of Justice National Crime Victimization Survey

<http://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/rsavcaf9513.pdf>

National Center for Health Statistics: National Survey of Family Growth

<http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nsfg.htm>

National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey

Project Overview: http://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/nisvs/summary_reports.html

Information Handout: http://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pdf/cdc_nisvs_digest_final-a.pdf

National Campus Climate Survey (NCCS)

<http://www.nationalcampusclimatesurvey.org/>

ESTIMATING THE INCIDENCE OF RAPE AND SEXUAL ASSAULT

Panel on Measuring Rape and Sexual Assault in
Bureau of Justice Statistics Household Surveys

Candace Kruttschnitt, William D. Kalsbeek, and Carol C. House, *Editors*

Committee on National Statistics

Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL
OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES PRESS

Washington, D.C.

www.nap.edu

Table 8.1: Estimated Odds Ratios for Nonconsensual Sexual Experiences Within the Past 12 Months at the University of Michigan, 2014-15.

From Multivariate Logistic Regressions: Odds Ratios (Wald Chi-Square)

	Fondling, Kissing or Touching ¹	Oral, Vaginal or Anal Penetration
Female (compared to male)	4.1*** (40.3)	7.7*** (39.9)
Undergraduate (compared to graduate/ professional)	2.6*** (12.0)	3.2** (7.5)
GSI/GSRA (compared to not)	1.2 (0.1)	1.7 (0.5)
Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual And Other (compared to heterosexual)	2.2** (7.6)	2.6** (7.3)
Race² (compared to white)		
Asian	0.6 (2.7)	0.8 (0.2)
Underrepresented	1.7* (5.1)	2.1* (5.9)
Residence (compared to on campus housing)		
Fraternity/Sorority	1.2 (0.1)	1.04 (0.01)
Family	1.5 (0.5)	1.8 (1.1)
Off campus in Ann Arbor	0.9 (0.6)	0.7 (2.7)
Off campus out of Ann Arbor	0.7 (0.6)	1.7 (0.8)
Memberships (compared to students who are not members of any of these)		
Fraternity/Sorority	1.8* (6.0)	2.5** (10.0)
Varsity Sports Team	2.0 (2.1)	2.7 (1.9)
Club Sports Team	1.4 (1.4)	1.9+ (3.0)
Marching Band	2.3 (2.0)	1.0 (0.0)
ROTC	1.5 (0.4)	2.3 (1.1)
Model Fit Statistics		
N	1878	1881
-2LL	1101.7	662.8
Likelihood Ratio χ^2	148.7***	129.8***
d.f.	15	15

+ p < .10 * p < .05 ** p < .01 *** p < .001 Two-tailed tests

¹ Anyone fondling, kissing or rubbing up against the private areas of the respondent's body (lips, breast/chest, crotch or butt) or anyone removing some of the respondent's clothes without their consent (but without attempting sexual penetration).

² Whites are the reference category. Underrepresented groups include African Americans, Hispanic Americans, American Indians and Mixed Race.



NCCS Study Mission

We will provide institutions of higher education with the tools necessary to capture high quality scientific benchmark data on their current campus climate around the topic of sexual assault and related misconduct. We provide a tool to satisfy not only current and future federal and state requirements, but also to provide institutions with actionable results today, and a platform on which to build long-term documented success.

A New Option for U.S. Universities

The NCCS is designed to be the *premier survey* for institutions of higher education to better understand their campus climate with regards to sexual assault with the goal of providing data to improve the campus climate.

The NCCS provides the best science-based tools possible:

1. Design based on the 2015 University of Michigan Climate Survey Design
2. Oversight from U-M's Survey Research Center (Quality Control, Design, Analytic Options)
3. Implementation by SoundRocket (Cost effective, top notch campus experience with experts who do multi-institutional studies, close collaboration with U-M)

Enrollment is Open Now for 2016!

Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
<i>Campus Climate Pro</i>	<i>Campus Climate Pro Methods</i>	<i>Campus Climate Pro Comprehensive</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Sample size of n=4,000• Email contact only;• Designed to achieve 15-30% response rate	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Sample size of n=4,000• Mailed prenotification letter• Post-paid \$10 incentive• Designed to achieve 40-50% response rate	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Sample size of n=2,000• Mailed prenotification letter• Post-paid \$15 incentive• Phase 2 with interviewer assisted data collection• Designed to achieve greater than 65% response rate

Participating Schools Receive:

- Ability to add 5 customized questions
- Hassle free data collection by an independent 3rd party organization
- Summary report, with executive summary sheet designed to be presented publicly (if school chooses). Overall report will include **benchmark** to compare school data against all schools participating. If study size allows, additional peer group comparisons will be available as well.
- Individual (de-identified) case level dataset for further analysis
- Access to experts to assist in analysis

For More Information and to Enroll, Please Visit:

<http://www.nationalcampusclimatesurvey.org/>